

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK, OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,548	03/03/2005	Junshi Sakamoto	038922.55990US	9224
23911 7:	590 11/16/2006		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20044-4300		3682	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/526,	548	SAKAMOTO, JUNSHI				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			A. Footland	3682				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of a like (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will ply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the ar	THIS COMMUNION AND A PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNION AND A PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION AND ADDRESS OF T	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,			
Status								
1) 🗌 🖟	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on .						
		☐ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)🛛 (	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		_					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election red	quirement.					
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
-	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		o) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection			·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		<del>-</del>	• •	CFR 1.121(d).			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by							
P <b>r</b> iority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. 8	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	t) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	I. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have be	en received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the				l Stage			
	application from the International							
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for	•	` ' '	received.				
					,			
	•							
Attachment(	s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
	No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/526,548

Art Unit: 3682

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figure(s) 1 versus that of Fig(s). 2 versus Fig(s). 3 v Fig(s). 4. Having done that, applicant must further elect among the seal species of fig. 5 v 6 v 7 v 8 v 9.

The species are independent or distinct because they contain mutually exclusive features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, AND A LISTING OF ALL CLAIMS READABLE THEREON (NOT, FOR EXAMPLE, "AT LEAST CLAIMS..."),

INCLUDING ANY CLAIMS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED, AND IF THE AMENDMENT OF ANY CLAIMS RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF THE SPECIES THEY READ UPON, THAT TOO SHOULD BE INDICATED.

FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN A HOLDING OF

NONRESPONSIVENESS. (Note that any "schematically" illustrated elected species may not schematically represent plural embodiments varying claimed features so as to defeat restriction, unless clarified by drawing corrections, to be responsive. If those details are illustrated in other figure[s], that other figure[s] should be identified and, if there are plural embodiments, each such embodiment should be considered a separate species, and if the

"schematic" figure is desired to be prosecuted, an election of one embodiment as the elected species should be made.) An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.<sup>1</sup>

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The elected species is limited to the features set forth in the elected figures, and does not include features not illustrated in those figures, or illustrated in other figures. Accordingly, applicant should review all claims to ensure that all features of the elected species are properly illustrated, as required, in order to avoid a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applicants may wish to consider listing claims readable with care in view of the possible consequences of having to later cancel them.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,548

Art Unit: 3682

holding that an unillustrated feature does not form part of the elected species.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Technology Center 3600

Art Unit 3682

laf

November 13, 2006